		FILED
1	Xavier Becerra	3/9/2021
2	Attorney General of California DIANN SOKOLOFF	
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General LAURA PEDICINI	
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 200934	
5	1515 Clay Street, 20th Floor P.O. Box 70550	
	Oakland, CA 94612-0550	
6	Telephone: (510) 879-0269 Facsimile: (510) 622-2270	
7	Attorneys for Complainant BEFOR	E THE
8	STRUCTURAL PEST	
9	DEPARTMENT OF CO	
9	STATE OF C.	ALIFORNIA
10		
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 2021-31
12	ACCURATE TERMITE & PEST	
13	SOLUTIONS, MICHAEL WADE DECK, Owner and Qualifying Manager	ACCUSATION
14	703 Main Street Fortuna, CA 95540	
15	Company Registration Certificate	
16	No. PR 5516, Branches 2 & 3	
17	and	
18	MICHAEL WADE DECK	
19	703 Main Street Fortuna, CA 95540	
20	Operator's License No. OPR 11610, Branches 2 and 3	
21	and	
22	BRETT T. MILLER	
23	703 Main Street	
24	Fortuna, CA 95540 Field Representative's License No.	
25	FR 51076, Branches 2 and 3	
26	Respondents.	
27		
28		
		1

(ACCURATE TERMITE & PEST SOLUTIONS; MICHAEL W. DECK, BRETT T. MILLER) ACCUSATION

PARTIES

1. Susan Saylor (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity as the Registrar/Executive Officer of the Structural Pest Control Board (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs.

Accurate Termite and Pest Solutions - Company Registration Certificate No. PR 5516

2. On or about January 28, 2008, the Board issued Company Registration Certificate
Number PR 5516 in Branches 2 and 3 to Accurate Termite and Pest Solutions, with Michael
Wade Deck as Owner and Qualifying Manager (Respondent ATPS). The Company Registration
Certificate was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in this
Accusation.

Michael Wade Deck - Operator's License No. OPR 11610

- 3. On or about November 20, 2007, the Board issued Operator's License Number OPR 11610 in Branches 2 and 3 to Respondent Michael Wade Deck (Respondent Deck). The Operator's License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in this Accusation, and will expire on June 30, 2022, unless renewed.
- 4. On or about October 16, 2001, the Board issued Field Representative's License No. FR 33933 in Branch 2 to Respondent Deck. On May 23, 2002, the Field Representative's License was upgraded to include Branches 2 and 3. The Board cancelled the Field Representative's License No. FR 33933 on November 20, 2007, due to the issuance of a Branch 2 and 3 Operator's License.
- 5. On or about November 6, 2000, the Board issued Applicator's License Number RA 16430 in Branches 2 and 3 to Respondent Deck. On October 16, 2001, the Board downgraded the Applicator's License No. RA 16430 to include Branch 3 only, due to the issuance of a Branch 2 Field Representative's License. The Board cancelled the Applicator's License on November 6, 2003, due to non-renewal.

Brett T. Miller – Field Representative License No. FR 51076

6. On or about January 20, 2016, the Board issued Field Representative's License No. FR 51076 in Branch 3 to Respondent Brett T. Miller (Respondent Miller). On May 16, 2016, the

Board upgraded the license to include Branch 2. On March 1, 2018, Field Representative's License No. FR 51076 became affiliated with Accurate Termite & Pest Solutions when Respondent Miller became an employee (ATPS). Field Representative's License No. FR 51076 was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in this Accusation and will expire on June 30, 2021, unless renewed.

JURISDICTION

- 7. This Accusation is brought before the Board under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.
- 8. Code section 8620 provides, in relevant part, that the Board may suspend or revoke a license when it finds that the holder, while a licensee or applicant, has committed any acts or omissions constituting cause for disciplinary action or in lieu of a suspension may assess a civil penalty.
 - 9. Code section 8624 states:

If the board suspends or revokes an operator's license and one or more branch offices are registered under the name of the operator, the suspension or revocation may be applied to each branch office.

If the operator is the qualifying manager, a partner, responsible officer, or owner of a registered structural pest control company, the suspension or revocation may be applied to the company registration.

The performance by any partnership, corporation, firm, association, or registered company of any act or omission constituting a cause for disciplinary action, likewise constitutes a cause for disciplinary action against any licensee who, at the time the act or omission occurred, was the qualifying manager, a partner, responsible officer, or owner of the partnership, corporation, firm, association, or registered company whether or not he or she had knowledge of, or participated in, the prohibited act or omission.

10. Code section 8625 states:

"The lapsing or suspension of a license or company registration by operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, or the voluntary surrender of a license or company registration shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to proceed with any investigation of or action or disciplinary proceeding against such licensee or company, or to render a decision suspending or revoking such license or registration."

11. Code section 8654 states:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Any individual who has been denied a license for any of the reasons specified in Section 8568, or who has had his or her license revoked, or whose license is under suspension, or who has failed to renew his or her license while it was under suspension, or who has been a member, officer, director, associate, qualifying manager, or responsible managing employee of any partnership, corporation, firm, or association whose application for a company registration has been denied for any of the reasons specified in Section 8568, or whose company registration has been revoked as a result of disciplinary action, or whose company registration is under suspension, and while acting as such member, officer, director, associate, qualifying manager, or responsible managing employee had knowledge of or participated in any of the prohibited acts for which the license or registration was denied, suspended or revoked, shall be prohibited from serving as an officer, director, associate, partner, qualifying manager, or responsible managing employee of a registered company, and the employment, election or association of such person by a registered company is a ground for disciplinary action.

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

Code section 8506.2 states:

"A 'qualifying manager' is the licensed operator or operators designated by a registered company to supervise the daily business of the company and to be physically present at the principal office or branch office location for a minimum of nine days every three consecutive calendar months to supervise and assist the company's employees. These days shall be documented and provided to the board upon request."

Code section 8516, subdivision (b) states, in relevant part:

(b) No registered company or licensee shall commence work on a contract, or sign, issue, or deliver any documents expressing an opinion or statement relating to the absence or presence of wood destroying pests or organisms until an inspection has been made by a licensed Branch 3 field representative or operator. The address of each property inspected or upon which work is completed shall be reported on a form prescribed by the board and shall be filed with the board no later than 10 business days after the commencement of an inspection or upon completed work.

Every property inspected pursuant to subdivision or Section 8518 shall be assessed a filing fee pursuant to Section 8674.

Failure of a registered company to report and file with the board the address of any property inspected or work completed pursuant to Section 8518 or this section is grounds for disciplinary action and shall subject the registered company to a fine of not more than two thousand five hundred dollars (\$2,500).

///

A written inspection report conforming to this section and on a form approved by the board shall be prepared and delivered to the person requesting the inspection or to the person's designated agent within 10 business days of the inspection, except that an inspection report prepared for use by an attorney for litigation purposes is not required to be reported to the board. The report shall be delivered before work is commenced on any property. The registered company shall retain for three years all original inspection reports, field notes, and activity forms.

Reports shall be made available for inspection and reproduction to the executive officer of the board or his or her duly authorized representative during business hours. Original inspection reports or copies thereof shall be submitted to the board upon request within two business days.

. . .

- (5) A general description of the building or premises inspected.
- (6) A foundation diagram or sketch of the structure or structures or portions of the structure or structures inspected, including the approximate location of any infested or infected areas evident, and the parts of the structure where conditions that would ordinarily subject those parts to attack by wood destroying pests or organisms exist. Reporting of the infested or infected wood members, or parts of the structure identified, shall be listed in the inspection report to clearly identify them, as is typical in standard construction components, including, but not limited to, siding, studs, rafters, floor joists, fascia, subfloor, sheathing, and trim boards.
- (7) Information regarding the substructure, foundation walls and footings, porches, patios and steps, air vents, abutments, attic spaces, roof framing that includes the eaves, rafters, fascias, exposed timbers, exposed sheathing, ceiling joists, and attic walls, or other parts subject to attack by wood destroying pests or organisms. Conditions usually deemed likely to lead to infestation or infection, such as earth-wood contacts, excessive cellulose debris, faulty grade levels, excessive moisture conditions, evidence of roof leaks, and insufficient ventilation are to be reported.

. . .

(10) Recommendations for corrective measures.

14. Code section 8519 states:

Certification as used in this section means a written statement by the licensee attesting to the statement contained therein relating to the absence or presence of wood-destroying pests or organisms and, listing such recommendations, if any, which appear on an inspection report prepared pursuant to Section 8516, and which relate to (1) infestation or infection of wood destroying pests or organisms found, or (2) repair of structurally weakened members caused by such infestation or infection, and which recommendations have not been completed at the time of certification.

///

17. Code section 8638 states:

"Failure on the part of a registered company to complete any operation or construction repairs for the price stated in the contract for such operation or construction repairs or in any modification of such contract is a ground for disciplinary action."

18. Code section 8641 states:

"Failure to comply with the provisions of this chapter, or any rule or regulation adopted by the board, or the furnishing of a report of inspection without the making of a bona fide inspection of the premises for wood-destroying pests or organisms, or furnishing a notice of work completed prior to the completion of the work specified in the contract, is a ground for disciplinary action."

19. Code section 8646 states:

"Disregard and violation of pesticide use and application, structural pest control device, fumigation, or extermination laws of the state or of any of its political subdivisions, or regulations adopted pursuant to those laws, is a ground for disciplinary action."

20. Code section 8647 states:

"Failure to comply in the sale or use of pesticides with the provisions of Chapter 2 (commencing with Section 12751) of Division 7 of the Food and Agricultural Code is a ground for disciplinary action."

REGULATORY PROVISIONS

21. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1918, states:

"'Supervise' as used in Business and Professions Code Sections 8506.2, 8610 and 8611 means the oversight, direction, control, and inspection of the daily business of the company and its employees, and the availability to observe, assist, and instruct company employees, as needed to secure full compliance with all laws and regulations governing structural pest control.

"In cases of ownership of more than one registered company by the same sole owner, corporation or partnership where the qualifying manager or managers cannot supervise each registered company because of the location of the companies, the qualifying manager or managers may designate an individual or individuals licensed as an operator or as a field representative in the branch or branches of business being conducted to supervise the company.

This designated supervisor or supervisors must be under the direct supervision of the qualifying manager or managers. Any such designation of supervisors does not relieve the qualifying manager or managers of responsibility to supervise as required in sections 8506.2 and 8610."

- 22. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1990, states, in relevant part:
- "(a) All reports shall be completed as prescribed by the board. Copies filed with the board shall be clear and legible. All reports must supply the information required by Section 8516 of the Code and the information regarding the pesticide or pesticides used as set forth in Section 8538 of the Code, and shall contain or describe the following:

. . .

- "(3) Infestations, infections or evidence thereof.
- "(4) Wood members found to be damaged by wood destroying pests or organisms.
- "(b) Conditions usually deemed likely to lead to infestation or infection include, but are not limited to:

. . .

"(2) Inaccessible subareas or portions thereof and areas where there is less than 12 inches clear space between the bottom of the floor joists and the unimproved ground area.

. . .

"(5) Commonly controllable moisture conditions which would foster the growth of a fungus infection materially damaging to woodwork.

. . .

- "(e) Information regarding all accessible areas of the structure including but not limited to the substructure, foundation walls and footings, porches, patios and steps, stairways, air vents, abutments, stucco walls, columns, attached structures or other parts of a structure normally subject to attack by wood-destroying pests or organisms.
- "(f) The following language shall appear just prior to the first finding/recommendation on each separated report:
- "This is a separated report which is defined as Section I/Section II conditions evident on the date of the inspection. Section I contains items where there is visible evidence of active

infestation, infection or conditions that have resulted in or from infestation of infection. Section II items are conditions deemed likely to lead to infestation or infection but where no visible evidence of such was found. Further inspection items are defined as recommendations to inspect area(s) which during the original inspection did not allow the inspector access to complete the inspection and cannot be defined as Section I or Section II."

- 23. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1991, states, in relevant part:
- "(a) Recommendations for corrective measures for the conditions found shall be made as required by paragraph 10 of subdivision (b) of Section 8516 of the code and shall also conform with the provisions of Title 24 of the California Code of Regulations and any other applicable local building code, and shall accomplish the following:
- "(1) Comply with the provisions of section 2516(c)(1) of Title 24 of the California Code of Regulations.

. . .

"(5) Structural members which appear to be structurally weakened by wood-destroying pests to the point where they no longer serve their intended purpose shall be replaced or reinforced. Structural members which are structurally weakened by fungus to the point where they no longer serve their intended purpose shall be removed or, if feasible, may remain in place if another structural member is installed adjacent to it to perform the same function, if both members are dry (below 20% moisture content), and if the excessive moisture condition responsible for the fungus damage is corrected. Structural members which appear to have only surface fungus damage may be chemically treated and/or left as is if, in the opinion of the inspector, the structural member will continue to perform its originally intended function and if correcting the excessive moisture condition will stop the further expansion of the fungus.

. .

"(8) Exterminate all reported wood-destroying pests. Such extermination shall not be considered repair under section 8516(b)(12) of the code. If evidence indicates that wood-destroying pests extend into an inaccessible area(s), recommendation shall be made to either:

. . .

- "(C) locally treat by any or all of the following:
- 1. exposing the infested area(s) for local treatment,
- 2. removing the infested wood,
- 3. using another method of treatment which exterminates the infestation. (If any recommendation is made for local treatment, the report must contain the following statement: ALocal treatment is not intended to be an entire structure treatment method. If infestations of wood-destroying pests extend or exist beyond the area(s) of local treatment, they may not be exterminated.)

When a complete inspection is performed, a recommendation shall be made to remove or cover all accessible pellets and frass of wood-destroying pests.

When a limited inspection is performed, the inspection report shall state that the inspection is limited to the area(s) described and diagrammed. A recommendation shall be made to remove or cover all accessible pellets and frass of wood-destroying pests in the limited areas. The limited inspection report shall include a recommendation for further inspection of the entire structure and that all accessible evidence of wood-destroying pests be removed or covered.

"(9) For the extermination of subterranean termite infestations, treat an infested area under the structure when subterranean termite tubes are found connected to the ground or when active infestations are found in the ground. Subterranean termite tubes shall be removed where accessible, except where a licensee is using an above ground termite bait station that requires the use of the termite tubes to be effective. Where a licensee is using an above ground termite bait station that requires the use of termite tubes to be effective, subterranean termite tubes can remain in place for the duration of the licensee=s use of the termite bait stations. At the conclusion of the treatment, the subterranean termite tubes shall be removed.

. . .

"(11) Correct any excessive moisture condition that is commonly controllable. When there is reasonable evidence to believe a fungus infection exists in a concealed wall or area, recommendations shall be made to open the wall or area.

. . .

"(c) If in the opinion of the inspector a building permit is required, it must be noted on the wood destroying pests and organisms inspection report (Form No. 43M-41 as specified in section 1996 of the California Code of Regulations)."

FOOD AND AGRICULTURAL CODE

24. Food and Agricultural Code, section 12973 states:

"The use of any pesticide shall not conflict with labeling registered pursuant to this chapter which is delivered with the pesticide or with any additional limitations applicable to the conditions of any permit issued by the director or commissioner."

COST RECOVERY

- 25. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case, with failure of the licentiate to comply subjecting the license to not being renewed or reinstated. If a case settles, recovery of investigation and enforcement costs may be included in a stipulated settlement.
- 26. Section 11519(d) of the Government Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may require restitution of damages suffered as a condition of probation in the event probation is ordered.

DEFINITIONS

- 27. The three (3) structural pest control categories (branches) are as follows:
 - Branch 1: Fumigation the practice relating to the control of household and wood
 - destroying pests or organisms by fumigation with poisonous or lethal gases.
 - <u>Branch 2</u>: General Pest the practice relating to the control of household pests excluding fumigation with poisonous or lethal gases.
 - <u>Branch 3</u>: Termite the practice relating to the control of wood destroying pests or organisms by the use of pesticides, or structural repairs and corrections, excluding fumigation with poisonous or lethal gases.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 28. On August 29, 2019, Respondent Miller, a Field Representative employed by Respondent Accurate Termite & Pest Solutions (ATPS), inspected a property located in Samoa, California (Samoa property). After completing the inspection, Respondent Miller prepared a Wood Destroying Pests and Organisms Inspection Report (WDO report). On September 27, 2019, buyer H.G. used the WDO report to close escrow on the Samoa property. In order to finalize the sale, H.G. had 60 days to have the repairs identified in the WDO report completed, including providing the escrow company with a Section 1 certification of the repairs.
- 29. Respondent Miller recommended unlicensed handyperson K.N. to facilitate the required repairs for \$1,872. In the process of completing the repairs, K.N. discovered damage to the Samoa property that far exceeded the identified issues in Respondent Miller's WDO report. In particular, Respondent Miller's WDO report failed to identify extensive framing-related damage due to fungus, termite, and beetle damage, among other issues. K.N. alerted Respondent Miller and H.G. about her findings.
- 30. On November 11, 2019, Respondent Miller issued a reinspection WDO report in which he certified that all Section 1 repairs identified in the August 29, 2019 WDO report were completed. The reinspection WDO report partially acknowledged the damage identified by K.N.; however, Respondent Miller characterized the damage as not being visible from the Samoa property's substructure area. Respondent Miller also contended that because the damage was not visible, ATPS did not have a responsibility to address the damages K.N. identified.
- 31. During the month of December 2019, H.G. obtained property damage repair estimates from three separate licensed contractors. The contractors' bids ranged from \$64,085.00 to \$68,969.00.
- 32. On January 29, 2020, H.G. hired Strickland Termite Control (Strickland) to complete another WDO inspection of the Samoa property and investigate the framing-related issues identified by K.N. In its January 29, 2020 report, Strickland concluded that the property damage, including subterranean termites, fungus, powderpost beetles, and dryrot, was visible from the Samoa.

10

7

11 12

13

14

15 16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27 28 property's substructure area. Strickland also identified inaccessible areas to the rear of the Sonoma property that were not identified in Respondent Miller's WDO report.

- On February 18, 2020, H.G. filed a written complaint with the Board, alleging that Respondents ATPS and Miller failed to identify damage and address necessary repairs on the Samoa property prior to the close of escrow. She alleged that Respondents ATPS and Miller overlooked the visible damage K.N., three contractors, and Strickland identified. H.G. also explained that she would not have purchased the Samoa property had Respondents ATPS and Miller advised her of the damage and provided an accurate quote to fix the damage.
- On July 8, 2020, a Board investigator initiated a formal investigation of H.G.'s complaint and visited the Samoa property. The Board investigator concurred with Respondent Strickland's conclusion that the damage was visible from the Samoa property's subarea.
- 35. On July 30, 2020, the Board investigator interviewed Respondents Deck and Miller. He showed them photographs of the damage at the Samoa property, and explained his findings. Respondent Miller admitted that he had not completed a bona fide inspection of the Samoa property and rushed the WDO inspection. Respondent Miller also acknowledged that he did not recommend removing or masking frass from the wood boring beetles at the Samoa property, and that he incorrectly concluded that the product Talstar Pro may be used to combat all beetle infestations. The Talstar Pro product label provides that Talstar Pro "is not intended to be a substitution for...fumigation to control extensive infestations of wood-infesting insects."
- 36. Respondent Deck initially agreed to take responsibility for the flawed report and correct the identified property damage. He agreed to bring the Samoa property into compliance at no charge to H.G. Respondent Deck also agreed with the Board investigator that Respondent Miller did not perform a bona fide inspection on the Samoa property. On August 12, 2020, Respondent Deck emailed the Board investigator to reconfirm his intention to "do whatever it takes to make H.G. happy."
- On September 10, 2020, Respondent Deck withdrew from his commitment to complete the repairs, stating that he could not afford to pay the \$60,000 to \$90,000 required to

bring the Samoa property into compliance. He forwarded the complaint to his insurance company and took no further action to rectify H.G.'s circumstances.

- 38. Based on the inspections by the Board investigator, three private companies, and Respondent Deck's own conclusions, it is estimated that H.G. suffered a financial injury of \$68,969.
 - Causes for Discipline Applicable to Respondents ATPS and Deck

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Facilitated Structural Repairs without Obtaining a Building Permit)

39. Respondent ATPS' Registration and Respondent Deck's Operator's License are subject to disciplinary action under Code section 8636 and California Code of Regulations 1991, subdivision (c) in that Respondents facilitated structural repairs to the Samoa property without first obtaining a building permit. The circumstances are more specifically set forth in paragraphs 29 and 30, above.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Complete Operation or Construction Repairs)

40. Respondent ATPS' Registration and Respondent Deck's Operator's License are subject to disciplinary action under Code section 8638, in that after acknowledging that the August 29, 2019 inspection of the Samoa property was inadequate and agreeing to bring the property into compliance, Respondents failed to take action. The circumstances are more specifically set forth in paragraphs 35-38, above.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Report Evidence of Fungus and Assorted Pests and Provide Recommendations)

41. Respondent ATPS' Registration and Respondent Deck's Operator's License are subject to disciplinary action under Code sections 8641, and 8516, subdivision (b)(6), (7) and (10) in conjunction with California Code of Regulations 1990, subdivisions (a)(3) and (4), (b)(2)(5)(e)(f) and 1991, subdivisions (a)(1), (5), (8), (9) and (11), in that Respondents failed to identify subterranean termite, fungus and wood boring beetle damage and make appropriate recommendations to address the resulting damage. Additionally, Respondents failed to provide a

recommendation to address the inaccessible areas to the rear of the Samoa property. The circumstances are more specifically set forth in paragraphs 28-38, above.

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Perform a Bona Fide Inspection and Identify all Reportable Conditions)

42. Respondent ATPS' Registration and Respondent Deck's Operator's License are subject to disciplinary action under Code section 8641 and 8516, subdivision (b)(6), (7) and (10) in conjunction with California Code of Regulations 1990, subdivisions (a)(3) and (4), (b)(2)(5)(e)(f) and 1991, subdivisions (a)(1), (5), (8), (9) and (11), in that Respondents failed to perform a bona fide inspection on August 29, 2019. The circumstances are more specifically set forth in paragraphs 28-38, above.

FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failed to Comply with Pesticide Label)

43. Respondent ATPS' Registration and Respondent Deck's Operator's License are subject to disciplinary action under Code sections 8646 and Food and Agricultural Code section 12973, in that the product Talstar Pro was improperly used at the Samoa property to address a beetle infestation. The circumstances are more specifically set forth in paragraph 35, above.

SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Improperly issuing a Certification Pertaining to the Property Being Free of Infestations and Infections)

44. Respondent ATPS' Registration and Respondent Deck's Operator's License are subject to disciplinary action under Code sections 8641 and 8519, in that Respondents improperly issued a Certification pertaining to the property being free of infestations and infections. The circumstances are more specifically set forth in paragraphs 28-38, above.

SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Supervise Employees and Business)

45. Respondent ATPS' Registration and Respondent Deck's Operator's License are subject to disciplinary action under Code sections 8506.2, and 8610, subdivision (c), and California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1918, because as the designated Qualifying

ELEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Improperly Certifying a Property as Free of Infestations and Infections)

49. Respondent Miller has subjected his Field Representative's License to disciplinary action under Code sections 8641 and 8519, in that he improperly certified the Samoa property as being free of infestations and infections in visible areas despite another licensed expert, the Board investigator, and three contractors finding otherwise. The circumstances are more specifically set forth in paragraphs 28-38, above.

OTHER MATTERS

- 50. Code section 8620 provides, in relevant part, that a respondent may request that a civil penalty of not more than \$5,000 be assessed in lieu of an actual suspension of one (1) to nineteen (19) days, or not more than \$10,000 for an actual suspension of twenty (20) to forty-five (45) days. Such request must be made at the time of the hearing and must be noted in the proposed decision. The proposed decision shall not provide that a civil penalty shall be imposed in lieu of a suspension.
- 51. Pursuant to Code section 8624, if Operator's License Number OPR 11610, Branches 2 and 3, issued to Respondent Michael Wade Deck, is suspended or revoked, the Board may suspend or revoke Company Registration Certificate Number PR 5516, Branches 2 and 3, issued to Respondent Accurate Termite and Pest Solutions.
- 52. Pursuant to Code section 8624, the causes for discipline established as to Respondent Accurate Termite and Pest Solutions, likewise constitute cause for discipline against Qualifying Manager Michael Wade Deck, regardless of whether the Qualifying Manager had knowledge of or participated in the acts or omissions, which constitute cause for discipline against Respondent Accurate Termite and Pest Solutions.
- 53. Pursuant to Code section 8654, if discipline is imposed on Operator's License Number OPR 11610, Branches 2 and 3, issued to Respondent Michael Wade Deck, then Respondent Deck shall be prohibited from serving as an officer, director, associate, partner, qualifying manager, or responsible managing employee for any registered company during the

time the discipline is imposed, and any registered company which employs, elects, or associates Respondent Deck shall be subject to disciplinary action.

54. Pursuant to section 8654, if discipline is imposed on Field Representative's License Number FR 51076 issued to Respondent Brett T. Miller, then Respondent Miller shall be prohibited from serving as an officer, director, associate, partner, qualifying manager, or responsible managing employee for any registered company during the time the discipline is imposed, and any registered company which employs, elects, or associates Respondent shall be subject to disciplinary action.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters alleged in this Accusation, and that following the hearing, the Structural Pest Control Board issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Company Registration Certificate Number PR 5516, Branches 2 and 3, issued to Accurate Termite and Pest Solutions;
- 2. Revoking or suspending Operator's License Number OPR 11610, Branches 2 and 3, issued to Michael Wade Deck;
- 3. Prohibiting Michael Wade Deck from serving as an officer, director, associate, partner, qualifying manager, or responsible managing employee of any registered company during the period that discipline is imposed on Operator's License Number OPR 11610, Branches 2 and 3, issued to Michael Wade Deck.
- 4. Revoking or suspending Field Representative's License Number FR 51076, Branches 2 and 3, issued to Brett T. Miller;
- 5. Ordering restitution of all damages according to proof suffered by H.G. as a condition of probation in the event probation is ordered;
- 6. Prohibiting Brett T. Miller from serving as an officer, director, associate, partner, qualifying manager, or responsible managing employee of any registered company during the period that discipline is imposed on Field Representative License Number FR 51076, Branches 2 and 3, issued to Brett T. Miller.

///

1	7.	Ordering Accurate Termite and Pest Solutions, Michael Wade Deck, and Brett T.		
2	Miller to p	ller to pay the Structural Pest Control Board the reasonable costs of the investigation and		
3	enforceme	enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3; and,		
4	8.	Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.		
5				
6		03/0/2021	Susan Saylor	
7	DATED:	03/9/2021	SUSAN SAYLOR	
8			Registrar/Executive Officer Structural Pest Control Board	
9			Department of Consumer Affairs State of California	
10			Complainant	
11				
12	OK2020900 91332230.dd			
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
			19	