

**TITLE 16. STRUCTURAL PEST CONTROL BOARD
DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS**

FINAL STATEMENT OF REASONS

HEARING DATE: July 23, 2015

SUBJECT MATTER OF PROPOSED REGULATIONS: Licensure Application Form Revisions

SECTIONS AFFECTED: California Code of Regulations, Title 16, Division 19, Sections 1936, 1936.1, and 1936.2

INITIAL STATEMENT OF REASONS UPDATED INFORMATION: The Initial Statement of Reasons is included in the Rulemaking file. There are updates to the information contained therein as follows:

The following technical and non-substantive changes are noted as follows:

INITIAL STATEMENT OF REASONS

1. Throughout the document there are extra spaces not needed.
2. Page 11 – Question 14 (last paragraph) – first line: the word “marred” is misspelled and should be “married.”

NOTICE OF PROPOSED CHANGES: The following change has been made as follows:

1. Page 3 – **CONSISTENCY AND COMPATIBILITY WITH EXISTING STATE REGULATIONS** – first and only paragraph – third line: reads “these regulations are not inconsistent or incompatible with existing state regulations.” This line should read “these regulations are neither inconsistent nor incompatible with existing state regulations.”

PROPOSED LANGUAGE

Through the process of preparing this regulatory proposal, the revision dates shown on the forms were updated to reflect the most recent modifications that were made. As a result, the revision dates that were shown on the forms contained in the 15 Day Notice of Modified Text differed from the ones shown in the originally proposed language but were not shown as modified text. To remedy this, the Board has changed the revision dates back to 04/2015 as shown in the proposed language of both the originally proposed, and modified text.

LOCAL MANADTE DETERMINATION: The proposed regulation does not impose any mandate on local agencies or school districts.

CONSIDERATION OF ALTERNATIVES: The Board has determined that no proposed or considered alternative would be more effective in carrying out the purpose for which the regulation is proposed or would be as effective and less burdensome to affected private persons than the adopted regulation or would be more cost effective to affected private persons and equally effective in implementing the statutory policy or other provision of law.

SMALL BUSINESS IMPACT: No proposed alternative would lessen the economic impact on small business because the proposed regulation is not expected to have any economic impact on small businesses.

SUMMARY AND RESPONSE TO COMMENTS RECEIVED DURING THE INITIAL NOTICE PERIOD OF JUNE 5, 2015 THROUGH JULY 23, 2015: The Structural Pest Control Board (Board) received no comments, objections, or recommendations during the 45-day comment period

COMMENTS RECEIVED (15 DAY NOTICE OF MODIFIED TEXT)

The Board received no comments, objections, or recommendations during the 15 Day Notice of Modified Text comment period.

INCORPORATION BY REFERENCE STATEMENTS

Forms 43L-1 and 43L-14 were incorporated by reference because it would be impractical and cumbersome for them to be published in the California Code of Regulations (CCR). The forms are used to create uniform documents that assist applicants in providing the Board all the information it needs in order to determine if a candidate is eligible for licensure. Listing the applicant information that the Board requires would create confusion for applicants and would lead to unnecessary delays in the Board's processing of applications. The forms are available to the public and have been posted on the Board's website.

Form 43L-26 was incorporated by reference because it would be impractical and cumbersome for it to be published in the California Code of Regulations (CCR). The form is used to create a uniform document that assists applicants in providing the Board all the information it needs in order to determine if a candidate is eligible for licensure. Listing the applicant information that the Board requires would create confusion for applicants and would lead to unnecessary delays in the Board's processing of applications. The form is available to the public and has been posted on the Board's website.

Form 43L-21 was incorporated by reference because it would be impractical and cumbersome for it to be published in the California Code of Regulations (CCR). The form is used to create a uniform document that assists applicants in providing the Board all the information it needs in order to determine if a candidate is eligible for licensure. Listing the applicant information that the Board requires would create confusion for applicants and would lead to unnecessary delays in the Board's processing of applications. The form is available to the public and has been posted on the Board's website.

FORMS

Technical non-substantive changes were made when it was discovered that words were missing and there were some grammatical errors. The changes in question were made to Forms 43L-1, 43L-14, 43L-21 and 43L-26 and are as follows:

1. Form 43L-1 Question 19 was changed from "Are you, or someone that you are either married, in a legal union or domestic partnership with, an active duty member of the Armed Forces of the United States who is assigned to a duty station in California under official active duty military orders?" to "Are you married to, or in a domestic partnership or other legal union with, an active duty member of the Armed Forces of the United States who is assigned to a duty station in this state under official active duty military orders?"
2. Form 43L-14 Question 24 was changed from "Are you, or someone that you are either married, in a legal union or domestic partnership with, an active duty member of the Armed Forces of the United States who is assigned to a duty station in California under official active duty military orders?" to "Are you married to, or in a domestic partnership or other legal union with, an active duty member of the. Armed Forces of the United States who is assigned to a duty station in this state under official active duty military orders?"
3. Form 43L-21 Question 17 was changed from "Are you, or someone that you are either married, in a legal union or domestic partnership with, an active duty member of the Armed Forces of the United States who is assigned to a duty station in California under official active duty military orders?" to "Are you married to, or in a domestic partnership or other legal union with, an active duty member of the Armed Forces of the United States who is assigned to a duty station in this state under official active duty military orders?"
4. Form 43L-26 Question 20 was changed from "Are you, or any of you, or someone that you, or any of you, are either married, in a legal union or domestic partnership with, an active duty member of the Armed Forces of the United States who is assigned to a duty station in California under official active duty military orders" to "Are you, or any of you, married to, or in a domestic partnership or other legal union with, an active duty member of the Armed Forces of the United States who is assigned to a duty station in this state under official active duty military orders? If yes, attach a signed statement as to which individual(s) listed in Question 8 is married to an active duty member of the Armed Forces of the United States who is assigned to a duty station in this state under official active duty military orders."

ADDITIONAL COMMENT

The forms being revised by the proposed regulation were crossed out, rather than red-lined, to make it clear what the final revised forms will look like.